

12 **awb9h** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Mirth: Nice find. I need that headgear so I can finally get a full nights sleep without having to worry Dick Cheney will swoop through my window and suck my blood.



13 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

My cell phone gave me a headache once. Of course that could be the people calling me at the time. 😊



14 **Rocky** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I don't care if she's nuts... she's cute!

\*Slicking back foil-covered hair... what's left of it, that is)

15 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

awb9h...I see you are testing my old-age tolerance level.

\*smile\*

Babies, awb9h is the author of the 2nd poem in the post below. He and I have had a little discussion about his blog logo, which is also his avatar.

What say you?

D, if I am get get any work done, I turn my phone ringer off.

Rocky, methinks all women are cute to you.

\*kiss\*



16 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I'd like to hear what it represents myself. awb9h?

Is that supposed to be Captain America? I know what he's doing....I think.



17 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Here is Alec's (awb9h) & Kit's site, prose before hos. There they elucidate their thinking on both their blog's title and their blog's logo.

<http://www.prosebeforehos.com/>



18 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Veddy interesting. I like the anything goes attitude.



19 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

You should read both of their posts regarding the title and logo, but here's a snippet from Kit:

"The sharpest tool for rendering the sacred ordinary is humor and wit. The image of the superhero spanking the woman attacks both traditional and Modern sensibilities. It mocks the idea that a woman could be treated as a petulant child while also mocking the idea that these images are verboten. While the traditional interpretation may be that the superhero represents all men and the woman all women, in a postmodern world couldn't the superhero represent the abstract ideal of the written word, and the woman represent all mankind?"

To which I replied:

You aren't mocking anything. Instead you are perpetuating stereotypical and oppressive symbols.



20 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:



Uh. D-day?

\*tapping toes\*

Ok, I'll just say that any man who puts me across his lap for a spanking will get shot in his sacred masculine.

Unless, of course, I ask him to.

21 **Christopher** on May 1st, 2007 said:



Mirth,

Like I confessed here a while back, I'm the germ-a-phobe, pretty off the hook with all my survival adaptations and rituals, but even I wouldn't wear that damned thing on my head.

It might mess up my hair! HAHAHAHA! 😊

22 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:



I just said I like the anything goes attitude 😊

\*innocent look\*

Not wanting to get shot anywhere, I would *Never* do that to you Sweetie.

23 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:



\*laugh\* at Christopher!

Uh. D?

You're on kinda shaky ground.

Just sayin'

26 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Kinda shaky ground?

I thought I was topping at least a 7 on the Richter scale 😊



27 **Christopher** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I don't mind being spanked from time to time but, it has to be the right man doing it and with my complete consent! 😊



28 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

😊 Christopher!

I think mirth is getting set to spank me and it WON'T be with my consent!



29 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Christopher & D-day,

Don't you agree with me that private sexual play is different from the logo in question?

Hmmmmmmmm.

\*waiting for answer\*

\*smoke coming from nose\*



30 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I don't believe the logo in question has anything to do with sexuality.

Christopher and I just got off on a wee tangent 😊



31 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

The logo, mho, has to do with male dominance over women, and it okays as amuzing or something close to amuzing, male violence towards women.



32 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

So I take it you didn't buy Kit or Alec's explanation for it.

That is what the logo represents on it's face, dominance (through violence) over women and it is offensive in it's own right.



33 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

D: see my #14 comment.

What is there BUT it's face?



34 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Which comment?

I was referring to their explanations for it. I didn't quite get it myself except for the anything goes part.



35 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Sorry, my #19 comment.



36 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Well, enough of this subject.

Anyone who doesn't see wrong in the logo is...well, I would suspect they are Republican down deep, and they admire the repression of the 50s. And they aren't too comfortable with women having power. Or comfortable with women in general.



37 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

It is definitely a throw back to the pre-60's attitudes towards women. For what it's worth, I don't think they should be using it.



38 **Christopher** on May 1st, 2007 said:

It reminds me of the 1950's movies when Sinatra would pat the blonde bimbo on the tush and say, "Ok, baby, you can leave now." And off she would go. It pre-dates my time on earth.



39 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Ahhh, those good old days when women knew their place. And if they didn't? Well that's what a rolled newspaper was for. Or a fist.



40 **awb9h** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:



First off, a newspaper would barely keep a woman quiet. Any experienced misogynist knows that the only remedy for a yappy kathy is an empty bottle of Jack.

Now on to more serious subjects. We are in our 20's, we have terrible senses of humor, and gigantic egos. And by the way, two of our five regular contributors are female. So it's not just penis humor.

41 **Rocky** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:

My belief in all of this is that in a free and permissive society, one still needs to respect the feelings of others... and mutual consent in foreplay is still called for. Some women might not agree with Mirthy's stand, (a certain blog site from the UK comes to mind) and think her a little touchy about this, however, they themselves still demand respect and wish to be treated fairly and not like trash.

The logo goes to far, in my view, if it offends her. If the guy knows her feelings on this from previous discussion, and still flaunts the logo, it isn't respectful.

42 **clif** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:

The logo is patently offensive to me for two reasons.

First I grew up in a house where my father physically abused my mother and the rest of us. That is wrong and spinning it with stupid tongue in cheek comments is just covering the pile of shit with whipped cream and calling it a cake.

The second reason is more personal to me,

I have two daughters and think people who MOCK violence against women are a danger to both of them, and ignorant cretins no matter how sophisticated they think they are.

43 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:



The thing is, Rocky, this isn't a female issues...at least it shouldn't be, but in this repressive time of Republican rule our society has regressed... and it shouldn't be offensive just because it offends me.

It should be equally important to males that they not be portrayed in this cartoonishly-brutish way. Enlightened men (and those who want to get laid) have given up a lot of these males fantasy thoughts of superiority, yet here are young men again expressing the attitude of their grandfathers, that being that men rule and women obey or suffer the consequence.

This is, or should be, of importance to both men and women.

44 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:



Rocky and Clif. Excellent comments.

45 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:



Thank you Clif.

I'm so happy that you showed up!

When I complain about the logo, it's perceived as me, a woman, being too touchy.

It is refreshing, always, to read a man express his distain for repression of females and to not want his gender to perpetuate these images or to be portrayed in these ways.

46 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:



I just unspammed a comment by alec.

It's at #40.



47 **clif** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:

Mirth having watched my mother go through this type of repression while I grew up. I definately do NOT want my daughters, (or anybody else's daughters for that matter) to have to go through what I witnessed as a child.

It is simple either we try to create a better world, each of us individually keeping up the standards we want people we know and love to have to deal with, or we're just selfish self centered cretins who eventually will pull the equivalent of the anti-war baby boomer crowd who got old greedy and selfish.

48 **mirth** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:

Ok, Alec? I am not finding you amusin'.

I posted your poem and I was happy to do that, but this last comment by you is offensive beyond my limit. And so is your avatar.



49 **clif** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:

alec might "claim" to be in his 30's but his emotional development seems stuck on 16

50 **D-day** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:

#40

*we have terrible senses of humor, and gigantic egos.*

I agree with that part of your comment at least. That's about it.



51 **clif** on [May 1st, 2007](#) said:

Sorry claim to be in his 20's

52 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Clif, he's in his early 20s.



53 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I looked his site over more carefully...a demeaning Are You Gay quiz, lots of nigga and Jew rants. Frankly, I see it as only barely above a hate site.



Alec, lose the avatar and shit about women before you come back. Otherwise, I ban you.

End o' story.

54 **clif** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Mirth, alex might be in his 20's but he is showing a underdeveloped "frat boy" style of concern for the rest of this planet a certain IDIOT in Washington suffers from.

55 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Interesting Clif, because in a mail to him I said I didn't think LM readers would dig his frat boy thinking.



56 **clif** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Mirth the subhuman frat boy style of thinking is easily recognizable where ever it rears it's underdeveloped mentality.

57 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I thought it was cool that you and I used the same words to describe his crap.



58 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Mirth? I believe I can delete the logo at least and can if you want.



Frat boy is the best description of him Clif. I've been looking at their site and pretentious frat boys is the only way to describe it. I guess the Bush personality has been more pervasive than we all thought.

59 **mirth** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Yes, if you can delete the avatar, I don't want it here.



60 **Rocky** on May 1st, 2007 said:

My intent was for both sexes, just like on Dad's blog about stalkers.

61 **Rocky** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I'm in and out, here... busy...

62 **Rocky** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I could also take offence as "just someone wanting to get laid" too, but I don't choose to.

70 **Rocky** on May 1st, 2007 said:

I understand, Clif.

71 **Rocky** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Again, my apologies to Mirthy and you.

72 **clif** on May 1st, 2007 said:

No offense taken, Rocky, most people never understand how deep the scars of a childhood like this run. Or how painful dragging up the memories is to some.

73 **clif** on May 1st, 2007 said:

Destroying a child is scaring a person for life, no matter how they try to overcome it later, PTSD is forever

74 **D-day** on May 1st, 2007 said:

#69



Clif, I am sorry for what you went through. Mirth, you too.

I can understand why this is painful and I certainly find it and the explanations for it offensive. As someone who never has experienced such in my life (I count myself very lucky to have grown up in the home I did) hearing such stories is difficult to comprehend. How could a parent do that to their child?

Of course that logo goes into other realms of societies ills and it is offensive in that manner too.

75 **Rocky** on **May 1st, 2007** said:

Well, I learned something tonight, Clif. I wouldn't have broached the subject if I'd known.

I was never in agreement with the avatar. Nor did I know about the histories here.

76 **clif** on **May 1st, 2007** said:

An abused child suffers from a special type of PTSD because they think it is normal, soldiers from a combat zone know something is wrong, abused children do not until they grow up most of the time then have to learn to adjust. But most of their references from childhood are non functional because they work in a abnormal situation, which is not what they want to live in.

This is very hard to understand how different the world looks to people who knew violence and suffering as their daily normal.

77 **mirth** on **May 1st, 2007** said:

Rocky, I am not upset with you in any way.



Frat Boy has left a long comment that akismet grabbed, with his reasons for why no one should be offended by his grav, which is a bunch of pretentious bullshit, but it boild down to it's a SUPERHERO hitting the woman and thus it's funny. He also left a comment, also grabbed by akismet, with a running hahaha about 9/11.

I have banned his ISP.

I'll leave this thread long enough for you to read this and to apologize for the delete once again, and then it will disappear.